	ASSESSMENT OF PROPOSE	D INFORMATION COLLECTION				
TO:	TO: INFORMATION MANAGEMENT COORDINATOR Carrie Brown, NMSS 10 CFR Part 30, Rules of General Applicab Licensing of Byproduct Material					
THE FOLLOWING FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED IN EVALUATING THE PROPOSED INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUIREMENT. (If the response is not so, check "NO" and explain						
1. The requirement is needed. (It is the best means to achieve a necessary regulatory objective.)						
2. The requirement has practical utility, i.e., the NRC has the capability to use the information in a timely and useful fashion.						
3. The schedule for imposing the requirement is reasonable.						
4.	 The requirement selected is the least burdensome method of achieving a necessary regulator objective. 					
5.	. The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requirements imposed by the NRC.					
6.	The requirement does not duplicate or overlap requiren agencies.	nents imposed by other Government	√			
7.	. The method used to estimate the burden is adequate.					
8.	The burden estimates are reasonable when compared with similar requirements previously submitted.					
9.	The methods proposed for collecting or keeping the informanagement practices.	ormation are consistent with sound record	V			
10.	The records retention period is sufficiently definitive and reasonable.					
 The requirement adequately identifies the records to be maintained and the information to be reported. 						
12. NRC administrative support requirements are sufficient to manage the information collection.						
13. The information collection will not cause NRC to exceed its Information Collection Budget.						
REM	ARKS					

OCIO REVIEWER - (Assigned Analyst) Tremaine U. Donnell Records Management Branch/IMD/OCIO	SIGNATURE DEMOLIZ	- a Donnell	DATE	09/ 23 /2002	
OCIO APPROVAL - (Branch Level)	SIGNATURE	11.	DATE		
Brenda Jo Shelton NRC Clearance Officer/OCIO	SAL	04 T. 16		09/26/2002	